

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	j	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,668	668 11/21/2003		Michael A. Aveni	005127.00216	1319	
22910	7590	01/05/2006		EXAM	INER	
BANNER of STATE S		OFF, LTD.	PATTERSON, MARIE D			
	28th FLOOR				PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02109-9601				3728	<u> </u>	
				DATE MÁILED: 01/05/2004	DATE MÁIL ED: 01/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,668	AVENI, MICHAEL A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marie Patterson	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY AND A STATE OF THE COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY AND A STATE OF THE COMMUNICATION IN THE COM	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 E	December 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-7,12-39 and 41-47</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 8-11, and 40</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	is/are withdrawn from cons	ideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date  promal Patent Application (PTO-152)  .				

Application/Control Number: 10/719,668 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 3-7, 12-39, and 41-47 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5/23/05.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1, 2, 8-11, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 40 the phrase "the same material" lacks antecedent basis and it is not clear what material applicant is referring to rendering the claims vague, confusing, and indefinite.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lyden (6449878).

Lyden shows a shoe with an upper (23) and a sole structure comprising an upper plate (47, 48 and 49) and heel plate (49 and 50) and the medial portion of the heel plate assembly having a thickness greater than a thickness of a lateral side of the heel plate

assembly (see column 20 lines 23-67 and/or column 23 line 38- column 24 line 24) as claimed.

In reference to the new limitation of the "heel plate assembly being formed of the same material", the materials of elements 49 and 50 is the same as the material for elements 47, 48, and 49 inasmuch as applicant has claimed such.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyden (6449878) in view of Rothbart (6092314).

Lyden shows a shoe with a heel plate assembly having a wedge shaped element (40 as described in column 23 line 38- column 24 line 24) substantially as claimed except for forming the wedge shape by providing a plurality of layers with varying widths.

Rothbart teaches that it is a well known and conventional to provide a plurality of layers with varying widths (as shown in figure 10) as an alternative to a steady slope (as shown in figure 8) for a wedge shaped pad in footwear. It would have been obvious to provide a plurality of layers with varying widths as taught by Rothbart as a well known alternative to the steady sloped wedge in the shoe of Lyden to provide a sloped surface.

In reference to claim 40 and the newly added limitation of "the first layer....formed of the same material", clearly all of element 55 of Lyden is made from a single material

Art Unit: 3728

and therefore if it were formed as multiple layers as taught by Rothbart, all of the layers would be made from the same material as claimed.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/8/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' argument that Lyden does not show a heel plate assembly formed of the same material", the materials of elements 49 and 50 is the same as the material for elements 47, 48, and 49 inasmuch as applicant has claimed such.

In reference to claim 40 and the newly added limitation of "the first layer....formed of the same material", clearly all of element 55 of Lyden is made from a single material and therefore if it were formed as multiple layers as taught by Rothbart, all of the layers would be made from the same material as claimed.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(572)272-8300</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed

Marie Patterson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728\